

## CHAPTER 7 RESEARCH AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Contents</b>
▪ <b>RELIABILITY</b>	
▪ <b>VALIDITY</b>	
▪ <b>INTERCORRELATIONS</b>	
▪ <b>DEVELOPMENT OF THE T-JTA ATTITUDE SCALE</b>	
▪ <b>RELIABILITY AND VALIDITY OF THE T-JTA ATTITUDE SCALE</b>	

### RELIABILITY

The reliability of the nine bipolar scale scores has been estimated with test-retest correlation coefficients, with split-half correlation's, and with Hoyt's<sup>1</sup> analysis of variance approach as presented by B. J. Winer<sup>2</sup>.

#### Stability

Estimates of stability were computed twice. The first estimate was based on the scores of a group of 81 subjects retested after a two-week interval. The analysis is presented in Table I.

The second estimate of stability involved a group of 50 subjects retested after from one to three weeks. (See Table II.) Note that the correlations with age are consistent and insignificant.

The second estimate of stability was also computed using the Sten scores of the group of 50 persons. The results are given in Table III. The generally lower coefficients reflect the reduced range of the Sten scale (a reduction from 41 points to 10 points).

#### Consistency

The internal consistency of the nine bipolar scales was estimated by split-half and analysis of variance techniques. Table IV presents the split-half reliability coefficients computed for a group of 1138 persons included in the norming group. There were 477 males and 661 females in the group. The average age was 32.6 with a standard deviation of 12.06 the male group and the female group were analyzed separately. The results are presented in Table V.

The analysis of variance approach to estimation of reliability yielded the results presented in Tables VI and VII. The subjects were selected from more than 1900. The 1900 were stratified by sex and age. A total of one hundred men and one hundred women were selected at random. The median age for the men was 39.2 with a mean age of 40.1 and a standard deviation of 10.29. For the women, the median age was 39.8 with a mean and standard deviation of 40.3 and 10.19.

Table VI presents the reliability coefficients for a subsample of 200 men and women. Table VII presents the reliability estimates for the 200 men and women separately. In the table also are the results of tests of significance for the differences between the means of the two sexes. Table VIII presents the various reliability coefficients for ready comparison of data from Tables I-VII

#### Standard Error of Measurement:

For the convenience of the user Table IX presents the estimated standard error of measurement in both raw score units and sten score units. The data of Tables II and III were used in the computations for Table IX.

**TABLE I**  
**Reliability for a Two-Week Interval (N=81)**

Scale	Pretest		Posttest		Correlation
	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	
A	12.16	6.997	10.89	7.836	.82
B	9.58	7.986	8.42	8.404	.85
C	29.09	6.449	30.25	7.293	.79
D	27.79	5.705	29.32	6.180	.83
E	32.57	4.995	33.28	5.353	.71
F	12.15	7.059	11.01	7.511	.75
G	23.25	6.880	23.27	7.319	.84
H	9.78	6.682	8.81	7.636	.79
I	23.78	8.874	23.62	9.197	.87

**TABLE II**  
**Reliability of Raw Scores Over a One to Three-Week Interval(N = 50)**

Scale	Pretest		Posttest		Correlations		
	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	Retest	With Age	With Age
					With Age	With Age	With Age
A Nervous	12.2	7.55	11.6	8.22	.88	-.21	-.27
B Depressive	10.3	8.21	10.6	9.33	.90	-.12	-.15
C Active-Social	28.2	7.41	29.2	7.82	.88	-.20	-.11
D Expressive-Responsive	27.5	6.36	28.2	7.04	.89	-.05	-.03
E Sympathetic	32.7	4.92	33.2	6.37	.74	-.05	.12
F Subjective	13.1	7.58	12.0	8.13	.79	-.17	-.21
G Dominant	21.6	7.24	21.2	7.68	.89	.13	.13
H Hostile	9.8	7.26	9.0	7.57	.84	-.04	-.16
I Self- Disciplined	23.1	8.35	22.9	9.52	.87	.19	.21
Age	22.8	4.95					

<sup>1</sup> C.Hoyt, "Test Reliability Obtained by Analysis of Variances," *Psychometrika*, 6:153-60, 1941.

<sup>2</sup> B.J. Winer, *Statistical Principles in Experimental Design*, New York: McGraw-Hill Book Co., 1962.

**TABLE III**

**Reliability of Sten Scores Over a One to Three-Week Interval**  
(N = 50)

Scale With Age	Correlations						
	Pretest		Posttest		Pretest	Posttest	
	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	Retest		
A Nervous	5.7	2.06	5.4	2.26	.88	-.25	-.32
B Depressive	5.5	2.12	5.5	2.26	.86	-.09	-.15
C Active-Social	5.9	2.42	6.3	2.63	.87	-.19	-.11
D Expressive-Responsive	5.3	1.81	5.7	2.40	.64	.00	-.03
E Sympathetic	5.8	2.00	6.2	2.38	.62	-.07	.09
F Subjective	5.7	1.99	5.4	2.24	.78	-.19	-.21
G Dominant	5.3	2.07	5.3	2.24	.88	.07	.09
H Hostile	5.3	1.92	5.0	2.12	.80	-.05	-.14
I Self- Disciplined	5.32	2.04	5.32	2.31	.86		.16
Total Mid Age	5.3	1.93	5.5	1.96	.73	.15	-.05
	22.8	4.95	-	-			

**TABLE IV**

**Split-Half Reliability Coefficients**  
(N=1138)

Scale	First Half		Second Half		Corrected Correlation	Spearman-Brown Guttman's Estimated Minimums
	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.		
A	5.569	3.9078	5.316	4.0144	.80	.80
B	5.578	4.4782	3.358	3.8365	.86	.86
C	14.116	4.2425	13.440	3.3266	.77	.76
D	15.265	3.7249	13.250	3.3886	.74	.74
E	15.026	3.0315	17.030	3.0620	.71	.71
F	5.980	3.6684	5.296	3.9073	.75	.75
G	10.979	3.7532	11.692	4.1635	.76	.76
H	5.055	4.0530	4.901	3.5328	.79	.78
I	13.871	4.2812	10.926	4.5540	.82	.82

'Based on the formula by Louis Guttman in "A Basis for Analyzing Test-Retest Reliability," Psychometrika, 10:255-82, 1945. The correlations represent "lower bounds" for the reliability coefficient.

**TABLE V**

**Split-Half Reliabilities for Sexes Separately**  
(N = 1138)

	Males (N. = 477)					Females (N. = 661)				
	First Half		Second Half		Corrected Correlation	First Half		Second Half		Corrected Correlation
	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.		Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	
A	5.2	4.3	3.1	3.7	.85	5.8	4.6	3.6	3.9	.87
B	5.2	3.9	5.2	3.9	.90	5.8	3.9	5.4	4.1	.79
C	13.6	4.4	13.3	3.5	.81	14.4	4.1	13.5	3.2	.74
D	14.7	3.9	13.0	3.5	.77	15.7	3.5	13.4	3.3	.72
E	14.1	3.1	16.2	3.3	.73	15.6	2.8	17.6	2.7	.65
F	5.7	3.5	4.9	3.8	.71	6.1	3.8	5.6	4.0	.77
G	12.0	3.5	13.0	3.7	.72	10.3	3.8	10.7	4.2	.76
H	5.6	4.2	5.2	3.7	.82	4.6	3.9	4.6	3.4	.75
I	13.7	4.4	10.8	4.6	.82	14.0	4.2	11.0	4.6	.83

**TABLE VI**

**Reliability Estimated by Analysis of Variance**  
(N = 200)

Scale	Mean	S.D.	Coefficients
A Nervous	11.16	8.382	.85
B Depressive	10.72	9.549	.90
C Active-Social	26.12	7.732	.79
D Expressive- Responsive	29.90	8.352	.86
E Sympathetic	31.85	6.196	.77
F Subjective	10.56	7.497	.82
G Dominant	22.92	7.411	.76
H Hostile	10.03	7.610	.82
I Self- Disciplined	24.96	8.387	.82

**TABLE VII**

**Reliability Estimated by ANOVA for Males and Females and Differences Between the Sexes (N = 200)**

Scale Name	Men (N=100)			Women (N=100)			Z for difference between Means	Level of Confidence
	Mean	S.D.	Reliability Coefficients	Mean	S.D.	Reliability Coefficients		
A Nervous	9.84	7.606	.82	12.48	8.936	.86	2.25	98%
B Depressive	9.56	9.556	.91	11.87	9.449	.89	1.72	95%
C Active-Social	25.37	8.542	.83	26.86	6.790	.73	1.37	83%*
D Expressive-Responsive	27.96	9.141	.88	31.83	7.008	.82	3.36	99%
E Sympathetic	30.71	6.886	.80	32.98	5.211	.71	2.63	99%
F Subjective	9.97	7.237	.81	11.15	7.740	.82	1.11	86%
G Dominant	23.61	7.771	.79	22.23	7.003	.73	1.32	90%
H Hostile	9.42	7.267	.81	10.64	7.927	.83	1.13	74%*
I Self-Disciplined	25.83	8.439	.83	24.09	8.287	.81	1.47	93%

\*Those levels marked with the asterisk are for two-tailed hypotheses, whereas the other figures are for one-tailed hypotheses.

**TABLE VIII**

**Summary of Reliabilities from Tables I-VII**

Scale	Stability		Consistency					
	2 Weeks	1-3 Weeks	Split-Half		Analysis of Variance			
			Men	Women	Com-bined	Men	Women	Com-bined
A	.82	.88	.80	.79	.80	.82	.86	.85
B	.85	.90	.85	.87	.86	.91	.89	.90
C	.79	.88	.81	.74	.71	.83	.73	.79
D	.83	.89	.77	.72	.74	.88	.82	.86
E	.71	.74	.73	.65	.71	.80	.71	.77
F	.75	.79	.71	.77	.75	.81	.82	.82
G	.84	.89	.72	.76	.76	.79	.73	.76
H	.79	.84	.82	.75	.79	.81	.93	.82
I	.87	.87	.82	.83	.82	.83	.81	.82

**TABLE IX**

**Standard Errors of Measurement  
Computed from Data on Tables II - III**

Scale	Raw Score Units	Sten Score Units
A Nervous	2.6	.71
B Depressive	2.6	.79
C Active-Social	2.6	.87
D Expressive-Responsive	2.1	1.09
E Sympathetic	2.5	1.24
F Subjective	3.5	.94
G Dominant	2.4	.72
H Hostile	2.9	.86
I Self-Disciplined	3.0	.76

## VALIDITY

The ideal method of determining a T-JTA's validity, or the extent to which a T-JTA measures what it purports to measure, is to compare the obtained results with certain pure measures of the variables involved. This method, however, poses a problem in the evaluation of most personality traits, since such "pure" criteria are not available. It was therefore necessary to use other means of assessing the validity of the T-JTA scales.

**Empirical validity** of the T-JTA was first determined by using professional clinical ratings as substitutes for pure criterion measures. Psychologists were asked to rate clients who were under their care. They were asked to select only those individuals whose personality dynamics were thoroughly familiar to them. The T-JTA was subsequently administered to these individuals, and their scores were then compared with the psychologists' ratings. In most cases the predictions were closely duplicated by the T-JTA results; in others there were only slight variations on a few traits. Another validating procedure was to compare "self-rated" T-JTA results with "other-rated" – Criss-Cross – T-JTA results. Significant positive correlations were found in all cases, except those involving severe marital discord, where several correlations were negative due to antagonistic feelings.

A study completed by W. Lee Morrison, Assistant Director of Research at Clarion State College, provided substantial support for the placement of the shaded zones on the T-JTA Shaded Profile. The following is a statement of his findings:

The Dept. of Public Instruction, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, has been conducting an on-going study of desirable "terminal behaviors," i.e. end results of teacher training, for the graduates of the State's teacher training programs.\* In connection with this study, Dr. William J. Page, Director of Student Teaching, Clarion State College, Clarion, Pennsylvania, requested that the cooperating elementary teachers in the area be polled concerning the personality characteristics of the "ideal" young teacher.

T-JTA Question Booklets and Answer Sheets were mailed during the month of May, 1967, to 112 cooperating elementary teacher supervisors in western Pennsylvania. They were asked to "answer the questions for the 'ideal' young teacher". The first 80 returns were used to derive a **Composite Profile of the Ideal Young Teacher** (Figure 1, Manual page 58). There were 72 female and 8 male Supervisors with a mean age of 42.50 years (S.D.=11.09), all of whom specified

that they were answering the questions for a female teacher. The statistical data below gives the mean raw scores and standard deviations for the nine bipolar T-JTA categories; and Figure 1 shows the Profile based on Female General Adult Norms.

## Correlations with Other Scales

**Construct Validity** was estimated by correlating the T-JTA with other personality tests; and the correlations can be cited as evidence that it measures the same general areas of behavior as the other tests. (However, the overall correlations with any one T-JTA should be moderate, since high correlations would indicate unnecessary duplication – Anastasi, 1965, p.146.) The tests selected for comparison with the T-JTA were the Sixteen Personality Factor Questionnaire (16PF) and the Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory (MMPI).\*\*

**16PF Study:** The 16PF is a multidimensional set of sixteen questionnaire scales measuring primary personality factors. In order to compare the 16 traits measured by the 16PF with the 9 traits measured by the T-JTA, 45 undergraduate males and 84 females (N=129) responded to both instruments. **Table X** gives the intercorrelations between the two sets of results.

T-JTA Trait A, Nervous, is associated with 16PF factors C-Emotionally unstable, L-Suspicious, O-Apprehensive, and Q4-Tense. T-JTA Trait B, Depressive, is associated with C-Emotionally unstable, H-Shy, O-Apprehensive, and Q4-Tense. T-JTA Trait C, Active Social, is associated with A-Outgoing, F-Happy-go-lucky, H-Venturesome, and Q2-Group-dependent. T-JTA Trait D, Expressive-Responsive, is associated with C-Emotionally stable, F-Happy-go-lucky, H-Venturesome, and Q2-Group-dependent. T-JTA Trait E, Sympathetic, is associated with E-Accommodating, and I-Tender-minded. T-JTA Trait F, Subjective, is associated with C-Emotionally unstable, H-Threat-sensitive, L-Suspicious, O-Apprehensive, Q1-Conservative, Q3-Undisciplined, and Q4-Frustrated. T-JTA Trait G, Dominant, is associated with E-Assertive, H-Venturesome, I-Tough-minded, and O-Self-assured. T-JTA Trait H, Hostile, is associated E-Assertive, L-Suspicious, and Q4-Tense. T-JTA Trait I, Self-disciplined, is associated with Q3-Controlled. The matrix of intercorrelations was factor analyzed using the principle component solution with the Varimax rotation. **Table XA** gives the salient factor loadings.

The findings of this study show that the T-JTA concurs in a manner to be expected with the 16PF.

**“Ideal Young Teacher”**  
**Mean Raw Scores and Standard Deviations**  
**for the Nine Bipolar T-JTA Categories**

Trait	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
Mean	3.56	2.49	33.93	33.88	35.25	2.99	27.43	3.64	32.91
S.D.	3.94	3.00	5.02	3.78	2.55	3.01	5.35	3.22	5.84

See Figure 1, page 58

**MMPI Study:** A study was also made comparing the T-JTA and the MMPI. Although the MMPI was designed to measure abnormalities in personality, its scales have also been shown to have meaning within the normal range of behavior (Dahlstrom & Welsh, 1960, p. 3).

Two hundred (200) subjects were asked to complete both the T-JTA and the MMPI. The majority of the subjects were college students enrolled in a lower division Sociology course in Marriage. The remainder were vocational counselees. All subjects were living in Los Angeles and surrounding communities. The age range was 17 to 39; and the mean age was 20.0 years with a standard deviation of 3.34 years.

**Table XI** presents the means, standard deviations, and correlations between the variables of the T-JTA and the MMPI. The correlations were calculated by using the T-JTA raw scores and MMPI raw scores not corrected for **K**.

Significant correlations are indicated: in general, the directions of the correlations conform to the descriptions of the traits being measured.

It might be noted that the comments relative to the differences in responses of men and women in the Manual, in the section on Reliability, are supported by the data from the MMPI study. Since women received a high average score on certain T-JTA scales, a positive correlation would be expected between their scores on these scales and the MMPI **Mf** scale. This expectation was confirmed for scales **A**, **B**, **E**, and **F**. Where men averaged higher scores on the T-JTA scales, a negative correlation with the **Mf** scale would be expected; this expectation was confirmed for scales **G** and **I**.

<sup>\*</sup> For “Personal qualities which appear to distinguish teachers selected to be ‘high’ and ‘low’ with respect to overall classroom behavior,” see **Characteristics of Teachers**, (Ryans, 1960 pp. 360-361).

<sup>\*\*</sup> A study comparing the T-JTA with the Edwards Personal Preference Schedule (EPPS) was included in the 1967 edition of the Manual.

<sup>\*</sup> correlations were also computed using MMPI scores which were corrected for **K**. With the correlation factor included, the magnitudes of the correlations decreased by approximately .2. For example, a correlation of .6 was reduced to a correlation of .4.

The scales comprising the T-JTA **Anxiety Pattern** (high scores on Nervous, Depressive, Subjective, and often, Hostile) showed high correlations with several MMPI scales: **Hs** (Hypochondriasis), **D** (Depression), **Pd** (Psychopathic Deviate), **Pt** (Psychasthenia), and **Sc** (Schizophrenia). As was expected, the scales comprising the T-JTA **Withdrawal Pattern** (low scores on Active-Social, Expressive-Responsive, Dominant, and a high score on Subjective) correlated significantly with the MMPI **Si** (Social I.E.) scale, which aims to measure the tendency to withdraw from social contact.

The correlations in **Table XI** were subjected to a Principal Components Factor Analysis. The results indicated that the **Sympathetic** scale and the **Self-Disciplined** scale each stands as a relatively unique measure, loading its own factor in a rotated matrix: the **Sympathetic** scale loaded its factor .82; the **Self-Disciplined** scale loaded its factor .83. The **Anxiety Pattern** was found as a factor loading T-JTA **Nervous** .46, **Depressive** .38, **Subjective** .30; and MMPI **F** .64, **K**-.72, **Hs** .47, **D** .38, **Pd** .52, **Pt** .74, **Sc** .79, and **Ma** .70. However, the **Anxiety Pattern** was also found as a factor loading T-JTA **Nervous** .55, **Depressive** .60, **Subjective** .75, and **Hostile** .81, indicating that **Hostile** is sometimes part of the pattern. The **Withdrawal Pattern** was found as a factor loading T-JTA **Active-Social (Quiet)** .82, **Expressive-Responsive (Inhibited)** .70, **Dominant (Submissive)** .81, and MMPI **Si** -.72.

The above findings further substantiate the constructs underlying not only the individual T-JTA scales, but also the T-JTA trait patterns.

## References

- Anastasi, Anne. **Psychological Testing** (2nd edition, 6th printing). New York: The Macmillan Company, 1965.
- Cattell, R. B.; Eber, H.W. & Tatsuoaka, M.N. **Handbook for the Sixteen Personality Factor Questionnaire**. Champaign, Illinois: Institute for Personality and Ability Testing, 1970.
- Cronbach, J. L. **Essentials of Psychological Testing** (2nd edition). New York: Harper and Row, 1960.
- Dahlstrom, W. Grant, & Welsh, George Schlager. **An MMPI Handbook**. Minneapolis: University of Minneapolis Press, 1960.
- Ryans, David G. **Characteristics of Teachers**. Washington, D.C.: American Council on Education, 1960.
- Taylor, Robert M., & Morrison, W. Lee. **Taylor-Johnson Temperament Analysis Handbook**. Thousand Oaks, CA: Psychological Publications, Inc., 1996, 2006.

**TABLE X**  
**Correlations Between the T-JTA and the 16PF Variables**  
**N = 129**

T-JTA Variable	16 PF															
	A	B	C	E	F	G	H	I	L	M	N	O	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
A Nervous	.04	-.13	-.53	-.05	-.10	.09	-.21	.00	.37	-.02	-.15	.59	-.14	.00	-.21	.57
B Depressive	-.14	.05	-.54	-.06	-.29	.00	-.46	.06	.29	.13	-.09	.70	-.15	.21	-.18	.55
C Active-Social	.32	-.02	.21	.12	.42	.21	.60	-.12	-.06	-.12	.02	-.23	.07	-.48	.23	.01
D Expressive-Responsive	.29	-.04	.37	.04	.36	.19	.62	.06	-.18	.06	.15	-.28	.14	-.35	.11	-.16
E Sympathetic	.12	.02	.10	-.31	-.14	.18	.06	.34	-.14	-.05	.04	.16	-.11	-.25	.05	-.02
F Subjective	-.17	-.10	-.43	-.19	-.14	-.02	-.39	.05	.31	-.04	-.18	.59	-.33	.16	-.38	.53
G Dominant	.08	-.09	.10	.60	.33	-.05	.46	-.37	.11	.12	-.04	-.38	.26	-.02	.20	-.04
H Hostile	-.01	-.17	-.24	.51	.25	-.20	.13	-.26	.37	.13	-.04	.13	.03	.11	-.07	.32
I Self-Disciplined	.24	.15	.08	.05	-.14	.26	.17	-.01	-.07	-.18	.11	-.13	.02	-.12	.58	-.05

\*Significance .05 level = .17; for 125 degrees of freedom .01 level = .23

**TABLE XA**  
**Salient Factor Loadings for T-JTA and 16 PF Variables**

Factor	T JTA					16 PF											
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	C	E	F	H	I	O	Q3	Q4
I. General Anxiety	.84	.83				.70				-.66					.81		.79
II. Extraversion			.78	.79			.51					.60	.74				
III. Dominant-Aggressive							.50	.74			.57			-.45			
IV. Self-Control									.87								.78

**TABLE XI**  
**Correlations Between the T-JTA and the MMPI Variables**  
**N=200**

Variable	T-JTA		MMPI													
	Mean	S.D.	L	F	K	Hs	D	Hy	Pd	Mf	pa	Pt	Sc	Ma	Si	
A Nervous	11.33	7.24	-.20**	.38**	-.44**	.53**	.45**	.16*	.40**	.15*	.16*	.63**	.50**	.28**	.38**	
B Depressive	8.4	7.13	-.26**	.46**	-.48**	.44**	.54**	.01	.42**	.16*	.31**	.66**	.59**	.17*	.51**	
C Active-Social	27.5	7.11	.03	-.28**	.09	-.10	-.23**	.07	-.14*	-.03	-.13	-.23**	-.22**	.18*	-.53**	
D Expressive	31.0	5.77	.11	-.35**	.25**	-.19**	-.34**	.12	-.23**	-.03	-.18*	.35**	-.35**	.04	-.56**	
E Sympathetic	32.2	4.88	.20**	-.11	.11	-.05	.02	.08	-.08	.25**	.08	-.04	-.15*	-.07	-.14*	
F Subjective	11.1	6.34	-.28**	.38**	-.53**	.34**	.41**	-.11	.24**	.23**	.20**	.58**	.52**	.19**	.52**	
G Dominant	20.0	6.49	.03	-.16*	.09	-.11	-.26**	.04	.01	-.17*	-.07	-.25**	-.18*	.16*	-.50**	
H Hostile	9.1	6.06	-.31**	.30**	-.41**	.25**	.22**	-.03	.31**	.02	.06	.36**	.38**	.20**	.23**	
I Self-Disciplined	22.6	7.51	.22**	-.29**	.24**	-.25**	-.17*	.01	-.20**	-.17*	-.09	-.32**	-.31**	-.24**	-.17*	
Mean			3.0	3.8	15.9	4.7	19.8	22.1	15.7	34.6	9.9	12.2	10.9	16.9	24.4	
Standard Deviation			1.94	2.75	4.32	3.63	4.53	4.27	4.21	6.65	2.49	7.41	6.95	4.43	8.38	

\*Correlation significant at .05 level. \*\*Correlation significant at .01 level.

**INTERCORRELATIONS**

Table XII below contains the interscale correlations for the General Population norm group (N=922). The intercorrelations for scales C, D, E, G, and I are generally low. However, the intercorrelations for the remaining scales display higher coefficients. Since these scales, A, B, F, and H, are all apparently in part measures of the broader concept of anxiety, it is to be expected that they would have higher intercorrelation values than the other scales. There is justification for retaining these scales, A, B, F, and H, as separate measures, since each measures a specific factor in a unique way not covered by the others. In other words, each scale has a uniqueness as a measure, as well as measuring factors in common with other scales.

**TABLE XII**

**Interscale Correlations for the General Population Norm Group Used in the July, 1966 Standardization N=922**

B	.644							
C	-.197    -.408							
D	-.346    -.502    .510							
E	-.118    -.180    .267    .375							
F	.634    .733    -.340    -.510    -.208							
G	-.221    -.387    .431    .386    .023    -.323							
H	.478    .454    -.155    -.295    -.450    .540    .148							
I	-.314    -.347    .212    .191    .148    -.443    .212    -.334							
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H

\* See the 2007 sten norm tables (Tables A-9 and A-10, Tables A-25 and A-26, Tables A-41 and A-42, Tables A-57 and A-58) for means and standard deviations of 2007 population samples.

**TABLE XIII**

**Mean and Standard Deviations for the General Population Norm Group Used in the July, 1966 Standardization\* N=922**

Variable	Mean	S.D.
A 1 Nervous	10.848	7.5968
B 2 Depressive	9.061	8.2022
C 3 Active-Social	26.558	6.9688
D 4 Expressive-Responsive	28.622	6.9640
E 5 Sympathetic	32.005	5.4463
F 6 Subjective	10.430	6.9195
G 7 Dominant	23.063	6.8754
H 8 Hostile	9.856	7.1083
I 9 Self-disciplined	25.022	7.9942
Mid Tallies		
10 for Nervous	1.351	2.0604
11 for Depressive	1.063	1.9025
12 for Active-Social	1.862	2.4100
13 for Expressive	1.368	2.1354
14 for Sympathetic	1.569	2.1661
15 for Subjective	1.526	2.3048
16 for Dominant	2.283	2.7155
17 for Hostile	1.592	2.3676
18 for Self-disciplined	1.825	2.5677
19 TOTAL MIDS:	14.442	17.0865

**References:**

Meehl, P., and Hathaway, S. "The K Factor as a Suppressor Variable in the MMPI." *Journal of Applied Psychology*, XXX (1946), 525-564.

Dahlstrom, W. Grant, and Welsh, George Schlager. **An MMPI Handbook** (revised) Minneapolis: University Minneapolis Press, 1960.

Taylor, Robert M, and Morrison, W Lee. **Taylor-Johnson Temperament Analysis Handbook**. Thousand Oaks, CA, Psychological Publications, Inc. 1996, 2007.

## DEVELOPMENT OF THE T-JTA ATTITUDE SCALE

Counselors who make use of self-report inventories such as the T-JTA are frequently concerned about a testee's conscious or unconscious defensiveness or any tendency towards self-deprecation. Since an inclination to either exaggerate or conceal personal weakness may affect the accuracy of the T-JTA results, it is helpful to have some means of measuring the amount and direction of exaggeration, as well as the degree to which such bias may have influenced or distorted the scores obtained.

The first step in the development of the T-JTA Attitude Scale was to select the **K Scale from the Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory (MMPI)** as the criterion. The MMPI K Scale is designed to estimate the direction and the degree of bias on that instrument due to T-JTA-taking attitude (Meehl and Hathaway, 1946). A high K score suggests false "good" scores resulting from personal defensiveness, and a low K score indicates false "bad" scores resulting from a tendency to exaggerate personal defects and troubles (Dahlstrom and Welsh, 1960, pp. (50-51).

The T-JTA and the MMPI K Scale were administered to 657 individuals (See **Table XIV**). There were 289 men and 368 women. The subjects ranged in age from 15 to 81 years, with a mean age of 41 and a standard deviation of 15.78. The mean age for men was 38.8 years with a standard deviation of 13.33; the mean age for women was 42.8 years with a standard deviation of 17.27.

An analysis of the K scores for the 657 subjects (men and women combined) produced a mean K score of 59.7 with a standard deviation of 8.62. The mean K score for men was 58.9 with a standard deviation of 8.92; the mean K score for women was 60.2 with a standard deviation of 8.34.

The individual K score for each of the subjects was correlated with his or her response to each of the 180 T-JTA questions. These correlations were used as the basis for selecting 57 items for further examination. The 18 best predictors were then chosen by a multiple regression analysis. The 18 items were drawn from 8 of the T-JTA scales.

## RELIABILITY AND VALIDITY OF THE T-JTA ATTITUDE SCALE

To determine the reliability (internal consistency) of the T-JTA Attitude Scale, the T-JTA was administered to 1,215 subjects (585 men and 630 females) with a mean age of 42.5 years and a standard deviation of 7.49. Reliability was .83, computed by analysis of variance.

These same 1,215 subjects were administered the MMPI Scale; and the correlation of Attitude Scale Scores and K scores was .72. The mean of the Attitude Scores was 25.5 with a standard deviation of 7.48; and the mean of the K scores was 59.7 with a standard deviation of 8.74. The correlation with age was .37 for the Attitude Scores, and .24 for the K scores.

**TABLE XIV**  
**Distribution of Ages and K Scores**  
**Among Sample Used for Developing**  
**Attitude Scale**  
**N = 657**

K Scores		Ages	
Score	Frequency	Age	Frequency
31-39	7	15-19	35
40-49	91	20-29	157
50-59	212	30-39	128
60-69	259	40-49	113
70-79	87	50-59	133
80-81	1	60-69	73
		70-79	16
		80-81	2

The functions of the MMPI K Scale and the T-JTA Attitude Scale are in many ways similar, in that both purport to measure defensiveness against psychological weakness at the high end of the scale, and self-deprecation at the low end of the scale. **Table XV** indicates the average K score corresponding to each T-JTA Attitude Scale Sten Score.

A further validity study on the T-JTA Attitude Scale was reported in 1968 by Clinton E. Phillips, Ph.D., Director of Counseling, American Institute of Family Relations (**See research Supplement No. 2, "A Validation Study of the Attitude Scale on the T-JTA,"** included in the T-JTA Manual on page 54. The results of this study indicate a "high correlation; marked relationship" between the scores of 105 subjects on the T-JTA Attitude Scale and the MMPI K Scale.

**TABLE XV**

Table of Mean K Scores	
Predicted from T-JTA Attitude Scores	
N = 1215	Mean = 59.71
Sten	Mean K Score
10	69.41
9	68.50
8	67.06
7	64.82
6	62.80
5	58.26
4	54.40
3	50.82
2	46.53
1	46.74